| ١ | I have attended these meetings for to present |
|---|--|
| 1 | |
| 1 | THE THE TOTAL TO THE PARTY STEED, I WILL |
| ł | control to strend in the type that we |
| 1 | will be hessed and will make DOE do |
| ١ | what is eight Without Columbia Pivsekerger |
| | and of sutitues haves more senter ince |
| 1 | tonista the results were it is would |
| | DE 1954 111 313/15 15 31/16 |
| ı | 05 1021 10 3030 5100 Days |
| ı | SOCOMEST THE TOPE TIME TO |
| ı | 2 NST SE IL MODIORE DEPONDEN |
| ı | My stand on the issue of deany |
| | has bot changed Hanturd must be cleared |
| | Joen Scripting additional waste |
| ١ | from other facilities is obviously not an |
| I | ausuro. Transportation issues ! If it comes |
| 1 | to that which it should not must be |
| | MORE adjectifications addressed |
| | GREEN WISTER IS 3/858/6 KIND CONTENT |
| | The content of the co |
| | the City of boilstica word in the |
| i | The future- |
| | |
| ı | |
| ١ | Written comments may be submitted to: |
| 1 | Michael Collins NEPA Document Manager |
| i | U.S. Department of Energy |
| | Richland Operations Office |
| | P. O. Box 550 MSIN A6-38 Richland, WA 99352 |
| | Fax: 509-372-1926 |
| | e-mail: hsweis@rl.gov |
| | http://www.hanford.gov/eis/eis-0286D2/ |
| | Name Alway Hodist Address 44 Wallace Rd. |
| | (Please Print) Linte Salmai WA |
| | Public Comment Period Finds May 27 2003 |
| | |

| 1 | The Waste at Hay food needs to be completly Cleaned up before I would |
|---|--|
| 2 | ever even consider allowing More whose moved to Hautord. CLEAN IT OP! |
| 3 | The Plane T will pay the price The Plane T will pay the price Solution of the dalyent From Hanford. The Plane T will pay the price along with its people. The Plane T will pay the price along with its people. The Plane T will pay the problein Written comments may be submitted to: Michael Collins NEPA Document Manager U.S. Department of Energy Richland Operations Office P. O. Box 550 MSIN A6-38 Richland, WA 99352 Fax: 309-372-1926 The Price To Box 500 MSIN A6-38 Richland, WA 99352 Fax: 309-372-1926 The Plane T will be price to the pay th |
| | |

REVISED DRAFT HANFORD SITE SOLID WASTE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT PORTLAND, OR - MAY 13, 2003

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the Department of Energy's Revised Draft Hanford Site Solid Waste Program Environmental Impact Statement, and I regret that I could not be here in person.

The extensive radioactive contamination found at the Hanford Site has resulted in one the largest clean up projects in our country's history. Since 1988, the Department of Energy has worked to address many of the severe problems that this contamination has caused for the local community and the region as a whole.

While I am pleased to see the efforts the Department has put forward in the revised draft, I still have grave concerns about moving new waste to Hanford. On the heals of decisions by the Department of Energy to halt large portions of its current cleanup efforts at the Hanford, it is obvious that we have our hands full disposing of the millions of gallons of high-level nuclear waste already at the site. Our first priority must be to remove the existing waste and treat it, so that it no longer threatens the citizens of the northwest, before we increase the amount of new waste being shipped to Hanford.

1

- Despite the Department of Energy's revisions, I still have concerns regarding the use of unlined, soil trenches for unspecified periods of time. Despite ongoing public concern, DOE's preferred alternative still recommends storing TRU waste in the Low Level Burial Grounds for an undetermined time period. I again call on DOE to fully examine this issue, and to demonstrate that its treatment and disposal proposals are safe beyond a doubt.
- Due to the complexity and seriousness of the topic at hand, I have also joined my colleagues in requesting a 15-day extension of the comment period. It is absolutely critical that that the public has sufficient time to consider the important decisions associated with the actions in this document.

I would like to commend all those here tonight for continuing to participate in the evolution of the Hanford cleanup effort. I look forward to working with all of you on this important issue.

| | The May 13th Public Neutring was much approved | ts/. |
|----------|---|--------|
| | I gained new in formation and had ald in 4 | |
| | reasing in alveral ways: | |
| 4 | 200 per la | 1/) |
| `■ | -7- UDE 15 DOI 10 De Puster |) الإ |
| _ 1 | - 2. Theysporting allitimal nucleur | |
| 2 | waste to downed is dengerously it about | ا. له |
| ' | 3- DAF CARRET he trusted !!! | |
| | 4. DOE has or misel it also we the | |
| _ | A constant of the state of the | |
| 3 | cangenous waste o which already exists an | |
| - 1 | Har for and Considertly refuses to do SO. | |
| | 5. DOF Count De Tusker | |
| - 1 | 6- Mucley waste should not be | |
| | panegoral flow Overn given the | |
| 4 | Query The Light The Day bridge | |
| ~ | a handa | |
| | and revails | |
| | - 7- DOE IS Contrustworkly | , |
| - 1 | - 8 - Durying pucless waste in unline | 1 |
| | Treaches Aughter garticularly men to | |
| 5 | Au Columbia liver is a possistante | |
| ٦ | Reserve de Which Par Kill of | |
| | recogniz. | |
| ' | | , . |
| | | ste.c/ |
| - 1 | Written consuments may be submitted to: | 1 |
| | Michael Collins | Las |
| _ | U.S. Department of Energy Mare flow at Space of | · |
| 6 | Richland Operations Office P. O. Box 550 MSIN A6-38 MOSTE Which is already | • |
| | Richland, WA 99352 Fax: 509-372-1926 being properly processed | ,,, |
| | e-mail: hsweis@rl.gov | /(/ |
| | http://www.hanford.gov/cis/cis-0286D2/ //- DE IS UM 10051 W 017049 | • |
| | Name PATRICIA DIMS Address 13617 SE GRANT AT | |
| | Please Print) | |
| | Tatte Cin Public Comment Period Ends May 27, 2003 | i |
| | | |

| 1 | WE THE PEOPLE OF THIS REGION HAVE ASKED FOR DECADES FOR A COMPLETE CLEAN SPOF HANFORD INSTEAD WE HAVE THINGS LIKE UNLINES TRENCHES DIAT CAN GRAPHY HOLD THE WASTE FOR A FOR |
|---|--|
| 2 | BROWING PLAME OF RAPIOACTIVE GROWN WATER EXTREMELY CARCINOGENIC REACTING OUT TO MEET THE COLUMBIA KIUDA WE HAVE BEEN GIVEN LOTS OF THINGS, BUT NOT ENOUGH KIPT PROMISES. |
| 3 | THE EXERTING RAMORATIVE OND TOXIC WASHE MUST BE SAFFLY DISDOSTO OF FIRST BEYORE AND WOLF |
| 4 | MAN BE BRODERT IN HANDOND POESNOT EVEN HAVE |
| 5 | Comprimismo - 175 time to RE EARN IT. |
| | I FIND IT IRONIC OND DISTURBING THAT THE U.S. DEPTART MONT CHARGED WITH DEFENDING THIS COUNTRY IS IN REALITY BUSILY DESTROY NO ITS LAND, WATER BAD PEOPLE Written comments may be submitted to: PRESENT + FUTURE. |
| | Michael Collins NEPA Document Manager U.S. Department of Energy Richland Operations Office P. O. Bey 550 MSIN A6-38 Richland, WA 99352 Fax: 509-372-1926 c-mail: hwej-wei fey Litp://www.hanford.gov/eis/eis-0286D2/ |
| | Nume_tEPHEN GEROULO Address 3707 CW. Ooget (CO. (Piense Print)) Address 3707 CW. Ooget (CO. (Piense Print)) |
| | Public Comment Period Ends Muy 27, 2003 |

| - 1 | The revised draft solid wate Els is insufficient. It |
|----------|--|
| 1 | fails to address reasonable alternatives to solid waste disposal |
| Į. | at Hanford. Where is the piece analysis regarding unlined |
| 2 | soil trenches, cumulative waste analysis, and groundwater |
| | Monitoring? In regard to transportation of all site waite |
| 3 | to Itanford, the wordship of roadways (esp. in oregon) |
| ٦ | has not been addressed. Oregon has expressed their |
| - 1 | concerns about the wordition of their aging bridges and |
| | have made afficial statements about their distings not |
| <u> </u> | to have worked trucked through their state, why have |
| 4 | These concerns not been addressed > uly at the |
| | opinion and concerns of states not been adequately |
| | wousidered and respected? |
| | Wissburgton state is not required to accept new |
| | waster but the DOE is would to proceed with |
| | clean-up for the health and salesty of the Hantond |
| | Florin area. |
| - 1 | This regioned gir should be rejected. It is not |
| 5 | what masintrada by the Napa and even fails to meet |
| ٦ | the most technical of requirements. |
| | |
| 0.50 | |
| | Written comments may be submitted to: |
| | Michael Collins NEPA Document Manager |
| | U.S. Department of Energy |
| | Richland Operations Office P. O. Box 550 MSIN A6-38 |
| | Richland, WA 99352 |
| | Fax: 509-372-1926 e-mail: hsweis@rl.gov |
| | http://www.hanford.gov/eis/eis-0286D2/ |
| | Name_Melissa Pelsor Address 615 Belluvie Ave. E #304 |
| | (Please Print) SPAHU, WAR 9502 |
| | Public Comment Period Ends May 27, 2003 |
| | |

| | DESPITE ROUSION, this SWEIS is iNASCOVATE. |
|-----|--|
| 1 | Unlined trenches for radioactive whate is an unsound |
| 1 | the classical facilities of the state of the |
| 1 | LIER WITH TRIGHTENING ENVIRONMENTAL EXTERTS DATEFICIONE |
| 1 | CONSIDERINA THE LONGENITY OF TRADIORCHIVE COMPOUNTS. |
| 1 | THE CALL OF ALGON HOLD GIVEN TO GROUNDWATER AND |
| 1 | the minitoring of grown water 4000 MKE-this SWEIS |
| - | inalporate. I think that the consideration of recosting |
| | part again wash of Martiner is forthered, there is |
| | Add to the stand of Soland Could be considered in |
| | RITERIAL Y AN HUMIST IN CONCEIVASIE KAMONTION WASTER OU |
| 1 | the restandation that has not local dealt with (and in some |
| - | CASES, NOT ignifited), why accept horce? Focus on |
| 1 | clean up, thank you for the apparationity to muyest. |
| | |
| - 1 | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 1 | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | Written comments may be submitted to: |
| | Michael Collins NEPA Document Manager |
| | U.S. Department of Energy |
| | Richland Operations Office |
| | P. O. Box 550 MSIN A6-38 |
| | Richland, WA 99352 |
| | Fax: 509-372-1926 |
| | e-mail: hsweis@rl.gov http://www.hanford.gov/eis/eis-0286D2/ |
| | and the state of t |
| | Name_ \$ 0 \$ AN N · CLYD6 Address 6 3 NN 5326 \$T (Please Print) \$6ATL6-, W. R 1810- |
| | |
| | Public Comment Period Ends May 27, 2003 |
| | |

U.S. Department of Energy Hearing The Hanford Solid Waste Environmental Impact Statement (SWEIS) May 15, 2003

Statement of Tina Schulstad Chair, Sierra Club Cascade Chapter

Hello, I'm Tina Schulstad, Chair of the Sierra Club's Cascade Chapter. I represent 26,000 members in Washington State and we are very concerned with the current plans for the Hanford Nuclear Reservation.

Every president wants a legacy – and it seems that President Bush wants his legacy in Washington State to be enlarging our already dangerous Hanford Nuclear Reservation into the nation's toxic waste dump. Since he came into power, the Administration and top Republicans in Congress have been diligently working to pump new life into the nuclear industry, which was a top contributor to their campaigns. This plan threatens our health and our environment here in Washington.

To begin, the Bush administration's budget inadequately funded the Tri-Party clean up agreement in 2002. For 2003 and 2004, we don't know if the funding is adequate because USDOE refused to disclose how it is spending money and what the cost of legally required cleanup work will be by specific project (Ecology and EPA have recently issued a notice of violation letter for the lack of disclosure). Thanks to Senator Patty Murray, the funding levels have increased, but clean up is still behind schedule and the degree of cleanup is proposed to be drastically cut by the Bush Administration's new cleanup goals and Hanford "acceleration" plan.

In the Bush administration's energy policy, which was developed behind closed doors with representatives from the nuclear industry, a high priority was placed on investing in new nuclear capacity. The Bush Administration proposes to develop and test new weapons and refurbish existing ones, creating vast new quantities of radioactive waste that it plans to ship to Hanford for burial. While the administration attempted to paint the technology as "new and improved," the real facts are much scarier – we still don't know how to deal with the waste we already have and accidents still happen that threaten our public health. Subsidies to create new nuclear plants don't make sense.

Soon, the Senate Republicans will push a bill that is closely based on the Bush/Cheney plan. The Senate bill provides \$2.4 billion in subsidies over the next 5 years to support new nuclear plants. They are offering an estimated \$14-16 billion in federal loan guarantees to build 7 new plants.

F-0015 (contd)

As President Bush and Vice President Cheney aggressively pursue their attempts to resurrect the nuclear industry, they still are woefully ignoring the basic problem – how to safely and securely manage waste that will be around for thousands of years, AND, how to safely and securely transport this waste around our country. The administration and the Department of Energy are attempting to play a shameless shell game with dangerous radioactive waste, and the people of Washington won't play along.

We applaud Washington Attorney General Christine Gregoire's efforts to force the Bush administration and the Department of Energy to stick to their promises about cleaning up Hanford. In addition, the Sierra Club and other concerned organizations were recently successful in obtaining a preliminary injunction against the Department of Energy. The DOE cannot transport anymore of the highly radioactive Plutonium Transuranic waste to Hanford from other nuclear weapons plants until it fully considers the risks, health and environmental impacts of trucking the waste to Hanford and storing it at Hanford. This revised draft EIS fails to consider those site and route specific risks. USDOE does not even know what is in the highly radioactive Remote Handled Transuranic Wastes that it wants to ship to Hanford (it has designated them "non-verifiable"). Hanford lacks any facility to analyze the wastes as required to meet hazardous waste laws and to ensure safe storage. Accidents, fires and earthquakes will release Plutonium, chemical and radioactive wastes; and waste containers will degrade while "stored" at Hanford for twenty or more years.

We believe that shipments to Hanford should end. The priority for the health of our people, fish and rivers is to safely contain the ever-threatening toxic soup that sloshes around the Columbia Basin. Focus this EIS on the effort to clean up what is already in the soil, rather than planning to add more to the nation's worst contaminated area.

Tina Schulstad 206-363-4489

2

3

4

61